UNAVCO GAGE GNSS Data Product Notice to Users: Notes on the 2019 GAGE NAM14 Combined Velocity Field to GPS Week 2018 2018-09-15

2019-06-12

Prepared by:

Dr. Thomas A. Herring, GAGE GNSS Analysis Center Coordinator, MIT

These notes add supplemental information to "Notes on the 2017 GAGE Velocity field to GPS Week 1977 2017-12-02" <u>https://www.unavco.org/data/gps-gnss/derived-products/docs/GAGE GPS Velocity Release Notes 20171202.pdf</u>, "Notes on the 2016 PBO Velocity field to Week 1925 2016-12-30", <u>https://www.unavco.org/data/gps-gnss/derived-products/docs/GAGE GPS Velocity Release Notes 20161230.pdf</u>, and "Notes on the 2015 PBO Velocity field to Week 1870 2015-11-14" <u>https://www.unavco.org/data/gps-gnss/derived-products/docs/GAGE GPS Velocity Release Notes 20161230.pdf</u>, and "Notes on the 2015 PBO Velocity field to Week 1870 2015-11-14" <u>https://www.unavco.org/data/gps-gnss/derived-products/docs/GAGE GPS Velocity Release Notes 20151223.pdf</u>

The 2019 GAGE full velocity solution includes GPS data from GPS week 0834 (Jan-01-1996) to week 2018 (Sep-15-2018). Time tag on LMD queue is 20190608084204. There is a DOI folder DIO_180915 associated with this release. The data in release represents the final combined solutions using NMT and CWU solutions. The reference frame for this release is NAM14 based on the ITRF2014 system [*Altamimi et al.*, 2016] and the North America plate Euler pole in the ITRF2014 system [*Altamimi, et al.*, 2017].

The complete analysis of the full GAGE velocity field generated from SINEX files (i.e., incorporating full variance covariance matrices and allowing re-alignment of the reference frame for the velocity field) is now being released. The 2015 release documents the methods being used to generate these velocity fields using combinations of sub-networks. These methods remain unchanged and here we update the tables derived from those methods.

The process noise models, in the form of random walk time-step variances or process noise (RWPN) are given in <u>All PBO.rw</u>. These values are generated by analysis of the position residuals from fitting the time series for each site. Sites that have process noise values greater than 100.0 mm²/yr are not included in this velocity solution so that they do not contaminate nearby sites. Twenty six sites are excluded based on this criterion (AC09, AC30, ARGI, AV05, BLKM, BLOK, CASA, EISL, ELMA, EOCG, FCTF, GUAX, KOD1, LUMC, MARC, MIDB, NTOE, P323, P656, Random, SATS, SMM1, SMM2, SMM3, STOE, and WLHG). Most of these sites have a combination of large systematics and/or short durations of valid data. We also impose a minimum RWPN value of 0.05 mm²/yr. 589 sites have computed RWPN values less than this value. The process noise statistics are generated from the time series using the GAMIT/GLOBK script sh_gen_stats based on tsfit fits to the time series with the realistic sigma algorithm used to account for correlated noise. The tsfit solution also generates a list of site position estimates not to be used in the velocity solution because they are outliers (either due to bad analyses, antenna failures or snow on antennas). The current list of edited site position estimates is given in <u>All PBO edits.eq</u>. These edits can by AC or for both ACs. The total GAGE time series contain 10818003 station-days. The outlier criteria remove 18904 (0.02%) of NMT and 3697 (0.00%) of CWU station-days of solutions.

The processing divides the 2619 sites analyzed into 34 networks each with approximately 80 site locations. (The final number of estimated parameters for each network depends on the number of breaks needed at each site. The networks need from 86 to 287 individual site names to accommodate the discontinuities, with a median number of sites of 170. Over all, each site has one break over the 22 year duration of data). There is no overlap between the sites in the first 33 networks. A 34th network is created to tie all the other 33 networks into a single solution. To form the sites in the 34th network, three sites for each network are chosen so as to minimize the trace of the covariance matrix of the estimates of rotation and translation using these sites. Weights assigned to each site in accord with the expected variance of the

velocity estimate for the site (i.e., combination of the RWPN and duration of data at the site). If equal weights are given to each site, this algorithm is the same as choosing the three sites that cover the largest area. The details of the sites in each network are given in <u>All PBO netsel.use</u>. The analyses of the 34 networks can be run in parallel and takes a few hours to run. The combination of the 34 networks uses ~11 Gbytes of memory and the NMT and CWU combination, along the equating of velocities (with a constraint of ± 0.01 mm/yr) at sites with discontinuities takes about three days of CPU time. The NMT and CWU velocity solutions are then merged to form the PBO solution combined solution. This combination uses ~40 Gb of memory and also takes just over 3 days to complete. The velocity combinations use loose constraints and we align the reference frame as we wish at the end of the combination. We generate four reference frame realizations: (1) A North America frame aligned to our current NAM14 frame using 1311 sites in our hierarchical list of reference frame sites; (2) A North America frame aligned to IGS14 rotated into the North America frame using the 86 sites original used in ITRF2014 to define the North America plate and (3) and (4) are the same as (1) and (2) except the reference velocities are in a NNR reference frame.

The full GLOBK SINEX velocity solution allows us to re-align the reference frames based on the combination of all of the data collected between 1996 and current day (2018-09-15 GPS Week 2108 for this analysis). The time series analyses for velocities is much faster but the daily solutions need to be aligned the reference frame each day based on an earlier realization of the frames. Tables 1 and 2 compare the WRMS and NRMS scatters of the differences between the velocity estimates obtained by the two GAGE ACs and the combination of the two ACs using different analysis methods. Table 1's caption explains the naming scheme used to describe the solutions. There are the three analysis centers, NMT, CWU and their combination PBO. The velocity estimates are generated with three different methods (1) GLOBK SINEX combinations, GK (2) time series analyses using weighted least squares (LS) and (3) time series analyses using a Kalman filter of the time series (KF). The time series LS analysis is the one that generates the quarterly GAGE SNAPSHOT fields. The GK analysis can be aligned to the current NAM14 frame (NA) or be realigned to the IGS14 frame (IG). In all analyses, the same process noise models, discontinuities and post-seismic non-linear models (based on time series analyses) are used. The comparisons do not re-align the velocity fields in any way. The RMS values are based on the simple differences between the estimates. The numbers of stations do not match between the analyses because the GK analyses exclude sites with large process noise values. Tables 3 and 4 show the same type of comparison when we restrict the sites to the best 925 stations in the solution. (These stations have velocity standards less than the median standard deviations in north, east and up in all three components, 0.16, 0.16 and 0.55 mm/yr, respectively). The number of stations is less than half the number of stations because the standard deviation condition must be met in all components). The NRMS values are very consistent with those in Tables 1 and 2, and in many cases smaller, suggesting that even the sites with the smallest sigma match in accordance with their sigmas.

Over all the agreement between the different methods of estimating the velocities are very good with the WRMS difference in the NE components typically <0.4 mm/yr with the comparison to the PBO 2017, PBO 2016, and PBO 2015 velocity all being about 0.4 mm/yr. This difference is due to change from NAM08 to NAM14. The height WRMS differences are less than 1.0 mm/yr with the comparisons to the earlier solutions being less than 1.2 mm/yr. The CWU and NMT full solutions (GKNA) have WRMS differences of 0.09 and 0.64 mm/yr in horizontal and vertical components. The NRMS scatter of the differences is typically less than unity for the current solutions showing that the error bars are of the somewhat larger than the differences. There are correlations between these solutions so the NRMS scatter being less than unity should be expected.

Comparisons with earlier solution, with no re-alignment of the reference frame have NRMS scatter between 1.5 and 2.0.

In tables 2 and 4, we see the average heights between the CWU and NMT solutions are in good agreement with mean differences of only 0.05 and 0.04 mm/yr for the comparison of all sites and the sites with standard deviations less than the median velocity uncertainties. We do see a 0.73-0.89 mean vertical rate difference between the NAM14 solutions and the older NAM08 solutions. There is a +0.45 ±

0.12 mm/yr average height rate difference between vertical rates of the 86 sites used to define the North America plate in ITRF2014 and our estimates.

As noted above, stations have been removed from the GLOBK Kalman filter estimation if the Horizonal Random Walk (HRW) value with >100 mm2/yr. Velocity estimates for these stations only appear in the time series based analyses. The nature the time series for this sites is documented in Table 5. In addition, in generating the statistics of the comparisons of all sites in Tables 1 and 2, we removed sites that had large differences between the CWU and NMT solutions. Only 17 of 2579 stations were removed. Velocities for these sites appear in all the velocity field files.

To show most of the distribution of the sites in the velocity field estimates, we show in Figure 1, the vertical rates of the 2540 stations which have vertical rates with standard deviations less than 5 mm/yr.

Along with this release of the velocity field we also release a folder with ancillary files and results similar to the files released for the Reviews of Geophysics paper. The contents of the DOI_180915 folder are described in Table 6.

Table 1: Comparison of North and East velocities between different velocity field determination methods. No transformation parameters between the fields have been estimated. The codes for the solutions are: CCC_TTYY where CCC is the center NMT, CWU or the combined PBO analysis; TT is the type of analysis: GK – GLOBK Kalman filter; TS – time series fit; and YY is combination of method and reference frame: LS – least squares, KF – Kalman filter; NA – NAM14, IG – IGS14 rotated to NA. The final entries PBO_2017, PBO_2016, and PBO_2015 are the earlier 2017, 2016 and 2015 PBO full solution generated in December 2017, December 2016 and November 2015. These fields are in the NAM08 reference frame, *#* is the number of common sites in the solutions.

Soln1 - Soln2 # N mean N WRMS N NRMS E mean E WRMS E NRMS (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr)

 PBO_GKNA- CWU_GKNA
 2566
 0.00
 0.05
 0.249
 0.01
 0.05
 0.256

 PBO_GKNA- NMT_GKNA
 2572
 0.00
 0.06
 0.297
 0.01
 0.07
 0.318

 CWU
 GKNA- NMT
 GKNA
 2562
 0.00
 0.09
 0.451
 -0.00
 0.09
 0.423

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_TSLS
 2576
 0.00
 0.14
 0.878
 0.01
 0.24
 1.486

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_TSKF
 2576
 -0.02
 0.20
 1.078
 -0.02
 0.30
 1.595

PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSLS 2565 -0.01 0.23 1.452 0.01 0.28 1.744 PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSKF 2565 -0.03 0.33 1.795 -0.01 0.40 2.131

PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSLS 2572 0.01 0.16 0.985 0.01 0.25 1.538 PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSKF 2570 -0.01 0.19 1.011 -0.02 0.29 1.563

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_GKIG
 2576
 -0.05
 0.07
 0.308
 0.07
 0.11
 0.488

 PBO_GKNA- CWU_GKIG
 2566
 -0.04
 0.08
 0.350
 0.09
 0.14
 0.621

 PBO_GKNA- NMT
 GKIG
 2572
 -0.09
 0.12
 0.503
 0.13
 0.19
 0.807

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_2017
 2191
 0.09
 0.36
 1.753
 0.16
 0.43
 2.073

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_2016
 2156
 0.07
 0.39
 1.859
 0.16
 0.45
 2.103

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_2015
 2119
 0.05
 0.41
 1.883
 0.13
 0.44
 2.030

Table 2: Similar to Table 1 except here the mean horizontal velocity (HzMean, HzWRMS, HzNRMS) and vertical velocity (U columns) are compared.

Soln1 - Soln2 # HzMean HzWRMS HzNRMS U Mean U WRMS U NRMS (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr)

 PBO_GKNA- CWU_GKNA
 2566
 0.00
 0.05
 0.252
 -0.05
 0.34
 0.519

 PBO_GKNA- NMT_GKNA
 2572
 0.00
 0.06
 0.308
 -0.00
 0.31
 0.472

 CWU_GKNA- NMT_GKNA
 2562
 0.00
 0.09
 0.437
 0.05
 0.64
 0.968

PBO_GKNA- PBO_TSLS 2576 0.00 0.19 1.220 -0.01 0.54 1.011 PBO_GKNA- PBO_TSKF 2576 -0.02 0.25 1.361 0.01 0.62 1.102

PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSLS 2565 -0.00 0.26 1.605 0.13 0.67 1.197 PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSKF 2565 -0.02 0.37 1.970 0.40 0.96 1.634

PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSLS 2572 0.01 0.21 1.291 0.15 0.67 1.228 PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSKF 2570 -0.02 0.25 1.316 0.22 0.73 1.285

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_GKIG
 2576
 0.01
 0.10
 0.408
 0.23
 0.29
 0.423

 PBO_GKNA- CWU_GKIG
 2566
 0.03
 0.12
 0.504
 0.15
 0.42
 0.604

 PBO_GKNA- NMT_GKIG
 2572
 0.03
 0.16
 0.672
 0.31
 0.50
 0.731

PBO_GKNA- PBO_201721910.130.401.9200.730.941.525PBO_GKNA- PBO_201621560.110.421.9850.881.131.838PBO_GKNA- PBO_201521190.090.421.9580.891.171.673

Table 3: Comparison of North and East velocities similar to Table 1 except we limit the sites to those that have horizontal and vertical velocities sigmas both less than the median horizontal and vertical velocity sigmas. (Reason there are less than 1283 sites is because both horizontal and vertical sigma conditions must be satisfied.) To be included in this table the north and east velocity sigmas must be less than 0.16 and 0.16 mm/yr and the height velocity sigma less than 0.55 mm/yr.

Soln1 - Soln2 # N mean N WRMS N NRMS E mean E WRMS E NRMS (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr)

PBO GKNA- CWU GKNA 925 0.00 0.04 0.250 0.01 0.05 0.342 PBO GKNA- NMT GKNA 925 0.01 0.04 0.257 0.01 0.05 0.322 CWU_GKNA- NMT_GKNA 925 0.01 0.07 0.458 -0.00 0.09 0.600 PBO GKNA- PBO TSLS 925 -0.00 0.08 0.716 0.01 0.09 0.747 PBO GKNA- PBO TSKF 925 -0.01 0.09 0.681 -0.01 0.11 0.807 PBO GKNA- CWU TSLS 925 -0.01 0.12 1.012 0.01 0.11 0.916 PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSKF 925 -0.02 0.15 1.062 -0.00 0.16 1.133 PBO GKNA- NMT TSLS 925 0.00 0.09 0.799 0.01 0.10 0.819 PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSKF 925 -0.01 0.10 0.718 -0.01 0.11 0.810 PBO_GKNA- PBO_GKIG 925 -0.06 0.07 0.371 0.06 0.10 0.544 PBO GKNA- CWU GKIG 925 -0.05 0.07 0.385 0.09 0.13 0.739 PBO GKNA- NMT GKIG 925 -0.09 0.11 0.577 0.12 0.17 0.935 PBO GKNA- PBO 2017 818 0.10 0.28 1.742 0.11 0.25 1.588 PBO_GKNA- PBO_2016 818 0.08 0.28 1.742 0.12 0.26 1.585 PBO GKNA- PBO 2015 818 0.07 0.28 1.645 0.10 0.24 1.381

 Table 4: Same as Table 3 except for the combined horizontal and vertical comparison.

Soln1 - Soln2 # HzMean HzWRMS HzNRMS U Mean U WRMS U NRMS (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr) (mm/yr)

 PBO_GKNA- CWU_GKNA
 925
 0.01
 0.05
 0.300
 -0.05
 0.15
 0.300

 PBO_GKNA- NMT_GKNA
 925
 0.01
 0.04
 0.292
 -0.01
 0.13
 0.271

 CWU_GKNA- NMT_GKNA
 925
 0.00
 0.08
 0.534
 0.04
 0.26
 0.528

PBO_GKNA- PBO_TSLS 925 0.00 0.09 0.732 0.01 0.36 0.906 PBO_GKNA- PBO_TSKF 925 -0.01 0.10 0.746 -0.00 0.42 1.012

PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSLS 925 0.00 0.11 0.965 0.11 0.42 1.019 PBO_GKNA- CWU_TSKF 925 -0.01 0.15 1.098 0.34 0.64 1.473

PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSLS 925 0.01 0.09 0.809 0.11 0.46 1.162 PBO_GKNA- NMT_TSKF 925 -0.01 0.11 0.765 0.18 0.54 1.289

 PBO_GKNA- PBO_GKIG
 925
 0.00
 0.08
 0.466
 0.22
 0.27
 0.510

 PBO_GKNA- CWU_GKIG
 925
 0.02
 0.11
 0.589
 0.15
 0.24
 0.452

 PBO_GKNA- NMT_GKIG
 925
 0.02
 0.14
 0.777
 0.29
 0.37
 0.727

PBO_GKNA- PBO_20178180.100.271.6670.740.881.885PBO_GKNA- PBO_20168180.100.271.6650.881.042.214PBO_GKNA- PBO_20158180.090.261.5190.871.041.903

Table 5: Notes on the nature of the time series of sites that were (a) not included in the full Kalman filter GLOBK analysis; and (b) excluded from Table 1 and 2 analyses due to >10 σ differences between the CWU and NMT horizontal velocity estimates and (c) excluded from Table 1 and 2 analyses due to 3-5 σ differences between CWU and NMT solutions.

Site	Explanation			
(a) Sites that were excluded based large HRW(> 100 mm ² /yr)				
AC09	Large horizontal smooth non secular deviations. Amplitude north -20 to 40 mm.			
AC30	Limited data between 2007-2009 with large gaps and snow effects.			
ARGI	Small amount of data in 2013 and one point in 2018			
AV05	Limited data between 2003-2006 with large gap and snow effects.			
BLKM	Only data between 2017-2018 with non-seasonal oscillation in East.			
BLOK	Patchy data in 2017, end early 2018. Oscillation seems related to BLKM.			
CASA	Bimodal north coordinates in 1996-1997, then large 100 mm change in north until 2000.			
	Multiyear systematics until end of data in 2006.			
EISL	Large systematics between 1996-2000. Sites ends 2005. Only marginally similar to CASA			
	between 1996-2000.			
ELMA	Small amount of data between 2016.4 and 2018.7. Break and systematic motion around			
	2018-09-16.			
EOCG	Systematic variations and "breaks" around 2014-12-13. 2016-01-04 and 2016-12-23.			
FCTF	Small amount of data in 2017 and 2018. Data early in 2017 very noisy.			
GUAX	Data looks OK except only in 2002-2004 and then large gap to 2017.			
KOD1	Data between 1996-2002 very systematic with slope that is different to the post 2002			
	data. Data ends in July 2007.			
LUMC	Only processed by NMT. Small amount of data between 2001 and 2003.			
MARC	Some in 2010 and then gap to 2012, end at end of 2012. Offset on 2012 that appears and			
	then disappears.			
MIDB	Small amount of data in 2017 and 2018. Systematic in East, maybe related to BLKM and			
	BLOK.			
NTOE	Very similar to MIDB with systematics and only data in 2018 and 2018.			
P323	Small amount of data in 2007 to early 2008. Affected by snow.			
P656	Very severe snow events with 400 mm North and 1000 mm vertical excursions.			
SATS	Limited data between 1996-2003. Excursions until 2000 similar to EISL and CASA.			
SMM[1-3]	Greenland summit sites with large height offsets (4 meters) and non secular motions.			
STOE	Data only between 2017 and 2018. East systematics similar to MIDB			
WLHG	Limit data between 2015 and 2018 with systematic excursions. Gap in early 2017.			
(b) > 10σ diffe	rences			
LINC	CWU has a large number of outliers and large 2 meters errors at start of data in 1999.			
P669	Nearly all data affected by snow resulting in 200 mm/yr differences between NMT and			
	CWU solution.			
AC33	Lots of snow events .			
GTRG	Offsets in 1997 between CWU and NMT. Probably an antenna change that was not			
	processed consistently.			
AHID	Data before 2000/12/19 very erratic – deviations of 50 mm.			
P664	Snow events			
(c) 3-5σ differences				
P135	Data quality degrades after 2015/06/06. NMT stops processing 2017/04/30.			
AC16	Snow events. Large sigmas in CWU solution after 2018/06/17. No NMT solution.			
PVE3	Poor data quality between mid 2002 and 2003/04/04. Looks like failing antenna.			

RBRU	Bad CWU results in September 2006; very few data in CWU solution at this time.		
WMAP	Bad antenna between 1999 and 2005/05/28		
LOZI	Only data between 2006 and 2013. CWU solution is very noisy compared to NMT solution.		
MOMI	Only data between 2016 and 2017. Noisy and NMT has only half the span of CWU		
P791	Snow events prior to 2012. Large offset in April 2012 with 100 mm offset in East		
	coordinate.		
BLYN	Site becomes noisy after 2014. Could be due to vegetation growth or failing antenna.		
NARA	Data only between 2012-2016. NMT has offset 2014/06/14 possible due to incorrect meta		
	data.		
MAWY	Strange slow shift in position in late 1999. Likely volcanic activity in Yellowstone.		

Table 6: Ancillary	and velocity f	fields supplied	with this solution	(folder DOI	180915)
Tuble 0. Anemaly	und verberty	neius supplieu	with this solution		_100515,

File	Description
All_PBO.rw	Random walk parameters by station for use in GLOBK
	Kalman filter
All_PBO_ants.eq	List of epochs of discontinuities due to antenna and radome
	changes in GLOBK EQ-format. There are 1994 entries.
All_PBO_edits.eq	List of sites and times of position estimates removed from
	the final velocity solution combination either because they
	are outliers (e.g., snow/ice on antenna) or have large
	standard deviations (22601 entries).
All_PBO_eqs.eq	List of 51 earthquakes included for co-seismic offset
	discontinuities. 15 of these earthquakes include
	parameterized logarithmic post-seismic terms.
All_PBO_unkn.eq	List of sites and epochs of discontinuities in position time
	series that occur for unknown reasons (or unknown times
	when an antenna partially fails). There are 157 entries
All_PBO_netsel.use	List of sub-networks used to create the combined velocity
	solution.
All_PBO.stab	Hierarchical list of reference frame sites used to define the
	NAM14 reference frame
All_PBO_nam14.apr	GLOBK apriori position, velocity and extended entry format
	file defined in NAM14 frame
All_PBO_igs14.apr	GLOBK apriori position, velocity and extended entry format
	file defined in IGS14 frame
pbo.final_nam14.20180915.vel	Combined velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis
	in the NAM14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file
	format.
cwu.final_nam14.20180915.vel	CWU velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis in the
	NAM14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
nmt.final_nam14.20180915.vel	NMT velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis in the
	NAM14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
pbo.snaps_nam14.20180915.vel	Combined velocity field based on time series analysis in the
	NAM14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
pbo.snaps_igs14.20180915.vel	Combined velocity field based on time series analysis in the
	IGS14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
cwu.snaps_nam14.20180915.vel	CWU velocity field based on time series analysis in the
	NAM14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
nmt.snaps_nam14.20180915.vel	NMT velocity field based on time series analysis in the
	NAM14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
pbo.final_igs14.20180915.vel	Combined velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis
	in the IGS14 reference frame. PBO velocity field file format.
	Complianed and a site field beneric at the second sec
ppo.tswis_nam14.20180915.gvi	Combined velocity field based on time series weighted least
	squares (WLS) analysis in the NAM14 reference frame.
	GLUBK VEIOCITY TIEID THE TORMAT.
ppo.tskta_nam14.20180915.gvi	Combined velocity field based on time series Kalman filter
	(NF) analysis in the NAIVI14 reference frame. GLOBK VEIOCITY
	neio nie format.
ppo.gkiga_nam14.20180915.gvl	Combined velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis

	in a North America reference frame directly realized from
	the IGS14 reference frame sites. GLOBK velocity field file
	format.
pbo.gknam_nam14.20180915.gvl	This is the pbo.final_nam14.20180915.vel file in
	GAMIT/GLOBK velocity field format. This format can be
	used with the GAMIT/GLOBK tools for analysis of the
	velocity field.
cwu.gknam_nam14.20180915.gvl	cwu.final_nam14.20180915.vel in GAMIT/GLOBK format.
nm.gknam_nam14.20180915.gvl	nmt.final_nam14.20180915.vel in GAMIT/GLOBK format.
cwu.tswls_nam14.20180915.gvl	CWU velocity field based on time series weighted least
	squares (WLS) analysis in the NAM14 reference frame.
	GLOBK velocity field file format.
cwu.tskfa_nam14.20180915.gvl	CWU velocity field based on time series Kalman filter (KF)
	analysis in the NAM14 reference frame. GLOBK velocity
	field file format.
cwu.gkiga_nam14.20180915.gvl	CWU velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis in a
	North America reference frame directly realized from the
	IGS14 reference frame sites. GLOBK velocity field file
	format.
nmt.tswls_nam14.20180915.gvl	NMT velocity field based on time series weighted least
	squares (WLS) analysis in the NAM14 reference frame.
	GLOBK velocity field file format.
nmt.tskfa_nam14.20180915.gvl	NMT velocity field based on time series Kalman filter (KF)
	analysis in the NAM14 reference frame. GLOBK velocity
	field file format.
nmt.gkiga_nam14.20180915.gvl	NMT velocity field based on GLOBK SINEX file analysis in a
	North America reference frame directly realized from the
	IGS14 reference frame sites. GLOBK velocity field file
	format.



Figure 1: Vertical rate estimates for the 2540 stations in the PBO NAM14 solution with vertical velocity standard deviations of less than 5 mm/yr.

References

Altamimi, Zuheir, Paul Rebischung, Laurent Métivier, and Xavier Collilieux. 2016. "ITRF2014: A New Release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame Modeling Nonlinear Station Motions." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 121 (8): 6109–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013098.

Altamimi, Zuheir, Laurent Métivier, Paul Rebischung, Hélène Rouby, and Xavier Collilieux. 2017. "ITRF2014 Plate Motion Model." *Geophysical Journal International* 209 (3): 1906–12. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggx136.